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Abstract—If several different systems operate in the same frequency band, a coordination between them is needed for effective use of the available spectrum. The coordination is especially important if the systems are not designed to operate in such an environment. The very important initial phase of the coordination process is acquiring of the spectrum usage map or spectrum sensing. The paper describes the spectrum sensing experimentation in the unlicensed 5 GHz band. The experiment workflow and depicts the obtained results. The experiments were performed at NITOS testbed at the University of Thessaly, Greece, and show that it is possible to determine whether WiFi or LTE transmission is sensed. Therefore, based on the obtained data, it will be possible to coordinate a shared access of WiFi and LTE users in the unlicensed 5 GHz band.
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1. INTRODUCTION

As already known, the amount of data transferred over mobile networks is rapidly increasing. The predictions say that the mobile data traffic will increase many times in the following years [1]. The mobile communications high data rates, high capacity and excellent quality of service were mainly fulfilled with the introduction of long-term evolution (LTE) standard that was first defined in 3GPP Release 8.

CA is the key technology that enables the unlicensed spectrum usage by mobile communication systems, are mostly occupied, some of the unlicensed bands may be used for the bandwidth extension. Because of this, among other reasons, the LTE standard was further improved in the 3GPP Release 10 [3]. It was named LTE-Advanced, or LTE-A, and is aimed at meeting the requirements of 4G mobile networks. Simultaneous use of multiple frequency bands, named Carrier Aggregation (CA), is the most important improvement of the LTE-A. CA is the key technology that enables the unlicensed spectrum usage by the LTE devices.

Any wireless communication system may freely use the unlicensed band, but in order to ensure efficient coexistence between different systems operating in the unlicensed spectrum, some regulations or coordination mechanisms have to be followed. All stations, operating in an unlicensed band, are required to employ some mechanism that takes care of other users and fair spectrum sharing. The mechanism is the dynamic spectrum access (DSA), and it may have different variants. Some of them are listen-before-talking (LBT) and dynamic frequency selection (DFS). The frequency selection in DSA systems is based on the measured data regarding the spectrum occupancy or on the spectrum sensing. Spectrum sensing is used to detect occupied and unoccupied parts of the considered spectrum and provide data for the process of spectrum usage coordination. Different SS techniques are adopted [4], [5] including traditional detect-and-avoid approach, spectrum cartography, and cooperative spectrum sensing.

This paper describes the spectrum sensing experimentation in the unlicensed band, which is studied within the CoordSS (Coordination by Spectrum Sensing for LTE-U) project, being a part of the FLEX (FIRE LTE testbeds for open experimentation) project [6]. The spectrum sensing is an important part of the experimentation framework that would support LTE-U self-organizing networks exploiting unlicensed spectrum at 5GHz for the extension of the licensed band using the carrier aggregation technology.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II explains the most important technologies in LTE-Advanced and gives some facts regarding the unlicensed bands. Section III describes the experiment, and the concluding remarks are given in Section IV.
II. LONG TERM EVOLUTION - ADVANCED AND THE UNLICENSED BANDS

LTE–Advanced, as stated, is an improved version of LTE, introduced in 3GPP Release 10. Carrier aggregation is the most important new feature of LTE-A, regarding the use of the unlicensed spectrum. CA allows LTE to simultaneously use multiple carriers, even at different frequency bands, and therefore, because of a higher bandwidth, to achieve a higher bitrate. At the same time, LTE-A is backward compatible with previous releases (8 and 9) of the LTE. Release-8 LTE supports carrier bandwidths of 1.4, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20 MHz. LTE-A may use up to five Release-8 carriers. Therefore, a LTE-A terminal may use up to $5 \times 20 = 100$ MHz of bandwidth.

The unlicensed band (UB) consists of a number of sub bands, from several MHz, up to several hundreds of GHz. UB mainly consists of ISM (Industrial, Scientific and Medical) and U-NII (Unlicensed National Information Infrastructure) bands. ISM bands were not primarily intended to be used for communication purposes, but due to the rapid development of communication technology, the ISM bands are used by communications systems, such as Bluetooth, near field communications (NFC), WiFi and other. ISM frequency bands, being of interest for communication purposes, are 902-928 MHz, 2400-2500 MHz, and 5.725-5.875 GHz. U-NII bands cover frequencies from 5.125 - 5.925 GHz, where 5.725-5.850 GHz U-NII sub band overlap with ISM band. LTE operation in unlicensed band has the potential to offer significantly better coverage and higher spectral efficiency compared to WiFi, while allowing seamless flow of data across licensed and unlicensed in a single core network [7]. It is planned to use 5 - 5.8 GHz band in the beginning. Also, at first downlink unlicensed communications will be developed, and later the uplink traffic will also be extended to the unlicensed band. The unlicensed spectrum would only be used for data rate increase, both in downlink and uplink. However, the licensed spectrum, having a predictable performance, will still be used for the important operations, and for the delivery of a guaranteed quality of service.

When using the unlicensed spectrum, a fair coexistence of LTE and other technologies, such as WiFi, has to be ensured. Upon the unlicensed spectrum usage, LTE network observes the spectrum, selects the channel with the least interference, and dynamically adjusts for the continued interference avoidance. The channel status is usually acquired by spectrum sensing. Spectrum sensing may be performed by a single device that needs to communicate or, preferably, by all network devices. They measure signal levels in different frequency bands and report the results to the network controller that analyzes the measurements and makes the decision about the spectrum that is going to be used. Both of these processes, spectrum sensing and spectrum management or coordination, are equally important. In this paper we describe the spectrum sensing methodology in an experiment, and the spectrum coordination will be the subject of the future work.

III. EXPERIMENT: LTE-U/WIFI SPECTRUM SENSING

The experiment was executed at the NITOS testbed, located at the University of Thessaly, Volos, Greece, and accessible via Internet [8]. The testbed consists of three parts: Outdoor testbed, Indoor Office testbed, and Indoor RF Isolated testbed. The general block diagram of the testbed is shown in Fig. 1. Indoor RF Isolated testbed was used for the experiment, because of its RF isolation and therefore controlled environment. The topology of this
The testbed is shown in Fig. 2. It consists of 44 nodes arranged in a grid (11 rows with 4 nodes each) with 1 m distance between neighboring nodes. Nodes are equipped with different wireless and wired communication devices, such as WiFi, WiMax, and LTE. Also, some nodes are equipped with USRP (Universal Software Radio Peripheral) B210 devices, and one of these nodes was used for spectrum sensing. Besides, a pair of USRP nodes served as LTE eNB and UE (User Equipment). Since there is no dedicated commercial LTE equipment operating in the 5GHz unlicensed band, a software radio implementation of the LTE standard, named OpenAirInterface [9] was used. It should be noted that the nodes are not mobile and therefore the hardware configuration of the nodes cannot be changed online, i.e. only people with the physical access to the testbed are able to change the hardware configuration of the nodes.

Spectrum sensing was performed by the Wideband Software Extensible Radio Platform (WiSER) [10], developed at OrbitLab [11]. WiSER uses USRP for spectrum sensing and signal generating. WiSER is implemented through the `wiserd` software. `wiserd` receives parameters via a command line, configures USRP for the needed task, receives data from USRP and writes results to the database.

The spectrum sensing node is at USRP equipped node56, and the WiFi nodes are 50 and 68. The OpenAirInterface is located at nodes 59 and 60. The spectrum is sensed in the unlicensed 5 GHz band, using frequency 5.24 GHz, which is WiFi channel 48. Spectrum sensing parameters are summarized in the following Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central frequency</td>
<td>5.24 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFT length</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensing time</td>
<td>2000 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averaging window size</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the first part of the experiment, the spectrum is sensed with WiFi stations on and off, and with LTE stations off. The experiment consists of the following steps:

- **Nodes imaging**

  Prior to imaging, the nodes have to be turned off:

  ```
  omf tell -a off -t node050,node056,node068
  ```

  Now, the nodes 50, 56, and 68 are imaged with `wiserd-nitos.ndz` image:

  ```
  omf load -I wiserd-nitos.ndz -t node050,node056,node068
  ```

  After imaging, the imaged nodes have to be turned on:

  ```
  omf tell -a on -t node050,node056,node068
  ```

- **Experiment execution**

  The experiment is executed with:

  ```
  omf exec wiserd-sensing.rb --slice username
  ```

  `wiserd-sensing.rb` is the file with the experiment description source code.

- **Results collecting**

  The results are at the sensing node 56 within the file `/root/sensed_spectrum_node56.txt`. We need to ssh to 56 copy results to local computer.

- **Results visualisation**

  The visualised results are shown in Fig.3.

  ![Fig.3 Sensed spectrum with and without WiFi.](image)

  The WiFi is initially turned off, up to about sample 150. After that, from sample 150 to sample 300, the WiFi is turned on. As can be seen from Fig.3, WiFi activity may be identified, because the USRP senses only noise from sample 0 to sample 150, and there is much higher sensed spectrum power from samples 150 to 300.
The experiment is described with the Ruby code, i.e. the contents of wiserd-sensing.rb file is:

```ruby
defProperty('resS', 'omf.nitos.node56', "ID of sensor node")
defProperty('res1', 'omf.nitos.node50', "ID of 1st WiFi node")
defProperty('res2', 'omf.nitos.node68', "ID of 2nd WiFi node")
defProperty('duration', 10, "Duration")

#WiFi nodes
defGroup('Sender', property.res1) do |node|
  node.addApplication("otg2app") do |app|
    app.setProperty('udp:local_host', "192.168.0.2")
    app.setProperty('udp:dst_host', "192.168.0.3")
    app.setProperty('udp:dst_port', 3000)
    app.measure('udp_out', :samples => 1)
  end
end
defGroup('Receiver', property.res2) do |node|
  node.addApplication("otr2app") do |app|
    app.setProperty('udp:local_host', "192.168.0.3")
    app.setProperty('udp:local_port', 3000)
    app.measure('udp_in', :samples => 1)
  end
end

#USRP sensing node
defGroup('Sensor', property.resS) do |node|
  node.addApplication("wiserd-wrapper") do |app|
    app.setProperty('uhd_rx_rate', '20E6')
    app.setProperty('uhd_rx_freq', '5.24E9')
    app.setProperty('uhd_rx_gain', '80')
    app.setProperty('recv_numbins', '512')
    app.setProperty('recv_avgwinlen', '500')
    app.setProperty('recv_running_time', '2000')
    app.setProperty('timed', '')
    app.setProperty('recv_oml_output_type', 'vector')
    app.setProperty('recv_oml_servername', 'file:/root/sensed_spectrum_'+property.resS+'.txt')
    app.setProperty('fftmovingavgoml', '')
  end
end

onEvent(:ALL_UP_AND_INSTALLED) do |event|
  info "This is OMF-WiFi-USRP-wiserd experiment"
  wait 10
  group("Sensor").startApplications
  wait property.duration
  group("Sensor").stopApplications
  wait property.duration
  group("Sender") do |node|
    node.net.w0.mode = "adhoc"
    node.net.w0.type = 'a'
    node.net.w0.channel = "48"
    node.net.w0.essid = "telforjournal"
    node.net.w0.ip = "192.168.0.2"
  end
  group("Receiver") do |node|
    node.net.w0.mode = "adhoc"
    node.net.w0.type = 'a'
    node.net.w0.channel = "48"
    node.net.w0.essid = "telforjournal"
    node.net.w0.ip = "192.168.0.3"
  end
  wait property.duration
  group("Receiver").startApplications
  group("Sender").startApplications
  wait property.duration
  group("Sensor").startApplications
  wait property.duration
  allGroups.stopApplications
  Experiment.done
end
defGroup('Sender', property.res1) do |node|
  node.addApplication("otg2app") do |app|
    app.setProperty('udp:local_host', '192.168.0.2')
    app.setProperty('udp:dst_host', '192.168.0.3')
    app.setProperty('udp:dst_port', 3000)
    app.measure('udp_out', :samples => 1)
  end
end
defGroup('Receiver', property.res2) do |node|
  node.addApplication("otr2app") do |app|
    app.setProperty('udp:local_host', '192.168.0.3')
    app.setProperty('udp:local_port', 3000)
    app.measure('udp_in', :samples => 1)
  end
end
```

During the second part of the experiment, the spectrum was sensed with the LTE stations turned on. Some of the LTE parameters are summarized in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downlink frequency</td>
<td>5.24 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uplink frequency</td>
<td>5.14 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplexing mode</td>
<td>FDD (Frequency Division Duplex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of resource blocks $N_{RB}$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel bandwidth</td>
<td>5 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two cases are considered: 1) eNB is turned on and UE is off, where eNB transmits only control packets, and 2) UE is attached to eNB and some downlink traffic is generated between them.

Figs. 4 and 5 show the visualized spectrum for these two cases.

Fig. 4 Sensed spectrum with eNB only.

Fig. 5 Sensed spectrum with eNB + UE.

The time-average values of the sensed spectra are shown in Fig. 6.
Sensed spectra show the difference between WiFi and LTE. LTE has a strong peak at the downlink central frequency, so it is possible to distinguish what kind of signal is present.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper described the spectrum sensing experimentation at NITOS testbed within the NITLab at the University of Thessaly, Greece. The spectrum sensing is one of the most important processes needed for the dynamic spectrum access and spectrum coordination. The experiments have shown the WiFi and LTE activity may be identified and therefore coordinated with other systems operating in the unlicensed band.

The next step is to develop a system that would be able, based on measured data, to coordinate a shared access of LTE and WiFi devices operating in the unlicensed 5 GHz band, in order to achieve an optimal performance with minimum interference between different users.
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